

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/026, 080 02/19/98 HEMBREE

D M10-020-1A

MMC2/0605

KILLWORTH GOTTMAN HAGAN & SCHAEFF
ONE DAYTON CENTRE
ONE SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 500
DAYTON OH 45402-2023

EXAMINER

POTTER, R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2822

DATE MAILED:

06/05/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/026,080	Applicant(s) Hembree et al.
	Examiner Roy Potter	Group Art Unit 2822

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 7, 2000

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 9-28, and 72 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) 16-28 is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) 3, 5, 6, 9-15, and 72 is/are objected to.

Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)


Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152



Roy Potter
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by MacDonald, Jr et al., U.S. Patent 5914864.

MacDonald, Jr. et al. discloses a shock and vibration attenuating structure for an electronic assembly. As shown in figure 1, the structure includes a mass of elastomer material 34. The elastomer material has a first modulus of elasticity. Holes 30c in the elastomer material 34 give the elastomer structure a different modulus of elasticity than there would be without the holes.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5-6, 9-15 and 72 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 16 - 28 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2822

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2 - 6, 9 - 28 and 72 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Applicant argues that because claim 1 has been amended to recite the subject matter of original dependent claim 2 which was indicated as containing allowable subject matter. For this reason, applicants submit that claim 1, as well as claims 3-6 and 9-15 which depend directly or indirectly therefrom are patentable over Hilton.

The indicated allowability of the subject matter of original claim 2 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to MacDonald.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Potter whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 4106.

*Roy Potter
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800*

Potter
May 22, 2000